Lesson 20. Optimization with Equality Constraints, cont.

Example 1. Suppose that you are interested in dividing your savings between three mutual funds with expected returns of $10 \%, 10 \%$ and $15 \%$, respectively. You want to minimize risk while achieving an expected return of $12 \%$. To measure risk, use the variance of the return on investment: when a fraction $x$ of your savings is invested in Fund $1, y$ in Fund 2, and $z$ in Fund 3, the variance of the return is

$$
v(x, y, z)=400 x^{2}+800 y^{2}+200 x y+1600 z^{2}+400 y z
$$

a. Consider the equality constraints below. Why do these constraints make sense for this problem?
expected return of portfolio $\longrightarrow 1.10 x+1.10 y+1.15 z=1.12$ must be $12 \%$
$x+y+z=1$
fractions of savings must add up to 1
b. Find the local optima of the variance of the return $v$, subject to the equality constraints given in part a.
c. How much should you invest in the three mutual funds?
b.

$$
\begin{aligned}
L\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, x, y, z\right)= & 400 x^{2}+800 y^{2}+200 x y+1600 z^{2}+400 y z \\
& -\lambda_{1}[1.10 x+1.10 y+1.15 z-1.12]-\lambda_{2}[x+y+z-1] \\
\nabla L\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, x, y, z\right)= & {\left[\begin{array}{c}
-(1.10 x+1.10 y+1.15 z-1.12) \\
-(x+y+z-1) \\
800 x+200 y-1.10 \lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2} \\
200 x+1600 y+400 z-1.10 \lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2} \\
400 y+3200 z-1.15 \lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}
\end{array}\right] }
\end{aligned}
$$

Solve for $C C P$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
1.10 x+1.10 y+1.15 z & =1.12 \\
x+y+2 & =1 \\
-1.1 \lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}+800 x+200 y & =0 \\
-1.1 \lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}+200 x+1600 y+400 z & =0 \\
-1.15 \lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}+400 y+3200 z & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\Rightarrow 1 \text { CCD: }(18000,-19380,0.5,0.1,0.4)
$$

e.g. Form augmented matrix, find RREF

$$
H_{L}\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, x, y, z\right)=\underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 0 & -1.1 & -1.1 & -1.15 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
-1.1 & -1 & 800 & 200 & 0 \\
-1.1 & -1 & 200 & 1600 & 400 \\
-1.15 & -1 & 0 & 400 & 3200
\end{array}\right]}_{\circledast}
$$

$2^{\text {nd }}$ deriv test:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{L}(18000,-19380,0.5,0.1,0.4)=\left(\begin{array}{lr}
2 k+1=5 \\
\Rightarrow \begin{array}{rl}
k=2
\end{array} \\
\begin{array}{rl}
n=3
\end{array} & d_{5}=\left|H_{L}(18000,-19380,0.5,0.1,0.4)\right|=5 \\
& (-1)^{k} d_{5}=5>0
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$\Rightarrow v$ has a constrained local minimum at $(0.5,0.1,0.4)$
c. (Assuming a local optimum is good enough)

You should invest 50\% in Fund 1, 10\% in Fund 2, and $40 \%$ in Fund 3 to achieve an expected retum of $12 \%$ at minimum risk (variance)

